arXiv:1505.01794v2 [math.AP] 30 Sep 2015

Remarks on the asymptotic behavior of the solution to an abstract damped wave equation

Hisashi Nishiyama

Abstract

We study an abstract damped wave equation. We prove that the solution of the damped wave equation becomes closer to the solution of a heat type equation as time tend to infinity. As an application of our approach, we also study the asymptotic behavior of the damped wave equation in Euclidean space under the geometric control condition.

KEY WORDS: Damped wave equation, Asymptotic expansion, Heat equation, Diffusion phenomena, Geometric control condition, Variable damping, Energy decay.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 35L05, 35K05, 35 Q99, 46N20

1 Introduction

We study the following abstract damped wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 + A + B\partial_t)u = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and A, B are self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H. We assume A is a densely-defined positive operator i.e. $A > 0$. B is a strictly positive bounded operator on H i.e. $B \geq c\, Id$ for a constant $c > 0$. We also assume $B^{1/2}$ and $B^{-1/2}$ are continuous operators on $D(A)$, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in D(A)$, the following bounds hold

$$\|AB^{1/2}u\|_H \leq C(\|u\|_H + \|Au\|_H),$$
$$\|AB^{-1/2}u\|_H \leq C(\|u\|_H + \|Au\|_H).$$

An example of this equation is the usual damped wave equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta + a(x)\partial_t)u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \tag{1.2}$$

where Δ is the constant coefficient Laplace operator on $\mathbf{R}^d$, $a(x)$ is a strictly positive definite smooth function with bounded derivatives. It is known that eventually the solution of (1.2) are close to the solution of a heat type equation. Here we see this by heuristic argument. The stationary equation is closely related to the asymptotic profile. So we take $u = e^{t\lambda}u_0(x)$ in (1.2), we have the following stationary damped wave equation

$$(\lambda^2 + a(x)\lambda - \Delta)u_0 = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

To the solution of (1.2), the influence of large frequency part becomes smaller as time tend to infinity by damping. So for small λ, if we neglect λ^2 term in (1.3), we obtain

$$(a(x)\lambda - \Delta)u_0 = 0.$$

This equation is a stationary equation to the following heat equation

$$(a(x)\partial_t - \Delta)u_0 = 0.$$

So we may expect the asymptotic behavior of the solution to (1.1) is close to the following abstract heat equation

$$B^{1/2}(\partial_t + \tilde{A})B^{1/2}v = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\tilde{A} = B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$. The solution of this equation can be written as follows

$$B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0.$$

Thus we can expect that the solution of the damped wave equation approach to the above solution as time tend to infinity. The following statement gives a justification of this argument.

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be the solution to the Cauchy problem of the following abstract damped wave equation*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + A + B\partial_t)u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in D(\sqrt{A})$, $u_1 \in H$ and $t > 1$, the following asymptotic profile holds

$$\|u(t) - B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0 - B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\|_H \le Ct^{-1}(\|u_0\|_H + \|\sqrt{A}u_0\|_H + \|u_1\|_H).$$

Remark 1.2. *We can get more sharp estimate, see Remark 5.5. On the other hand, this decay rate is optimal, see Proposition 5.6 which is a generalization of the result of [6].*

In many situation, we impose some assumptions to the initial data. In the case, Theorem 1.1 does not give sufficient information. For example, we consider the constant coefficient damped wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^d$

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta + \partial_t)u = 0.$$

The correspondence heat propagator satisfies

$$e^{t\Delta} : L^1 \to L^2 = \mathcal{O}(t^{-d/4}).$$

From this decay estimate, we can not get the top term from Theorem 1.1 if the initial date u_0, u_1 are in L^1 and $d > 3$. So we need to impose some more assumption to the equation. For the purpose, we specify $H = L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ where (Ω, μ) is a σ-finite measure space and assume that $\{e^{-t\tilde{A}}\}_{t>0}$ is also a bounded semi-group on $L^1(\Omega, \mu)$

$$\|e^{-t\tilde{A}}u\|_{L^1} = \mathcal{O}(1)\|u\|_{L^1}, t > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

We also assume that there exists $m > 0$ such that the following diffusion estimate holds

$$\|e^{-t\tilde{A}}u\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-m})\|u\|_{L^1},\ t > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Furthermore $B^{1/2}$ is also a bounded operator on L^1 satisfying

$$\frac{1}{C}\|u\|_{L^1} \le \|B^{1/2}u\|_{L^1} \le C\|u\|_{L^1} \tag{1.8}$$

for a constant $C > 0$. Our model problem (1.2), satisfies this assumption. The next result is a diffusion type estimate for such operators.

Theorem 1.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we also assume (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in D(\sqrt{A}) \cap L^1$, $u_1 \in L^2 \cap L^1$, $t > 1$, the solution $u(t)$ of (1.5) satisfies the following asymptotic profile*

$$\|u(t) - B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0 - B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-m-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^2\cap L^1} + \|\sqrt{A}u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^2\cap L^1}).$$

In the final section, as an application of the above theorems, we consider an example of damped wave equation on Euclidean space and we give an asymptotic profile of the solution for a variable coefficient damped wave equation, see Theorem 7.1. We also treat a perturbation of this case for which the strictly positivity of the damping term may not be satisfied but the geometric control condition holds. In this case, we can give the similar asymptotic profile, see Theorem 7.9.

Now we remark some related result. On bounded domains or manifolds, under the geometric control condition, the exponential energy decay of the solution was proved in [1], [16]. On the other hand, for unbounded domains the energy does not decay exponentially. In [13], the fact that the decay late of the solution is similar to the heat equation is proved to study the semi-linear damped wave equation. The solution of the damped wave equation tend to the solution of the heat equation is well-known for example [14]. This type results may be called diffusion phenomena for the damped wave equation. For variable coefficient damping, Y, Wakasugi ([18]) proved the diffusion phenomena for spacial decreasing damping. For abstract setting, the diffusion phenomena may be proved in [17] but at present, the manuscript does not published and their result seems to slightly different from ours.

If the damping term is too weak, for example short range case, the asymptotic behavior of the solution is quite different. In the case, the solution tend to the solution of the wave equation and the local energy decay is an important problem, see [3].

2 Abstract damped wave equation

We recall the setting of the problem. In this section, we only assume that B is a bounded and non-negative operator. We reduce (1.1) to a first order system. We introduce the following operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -A & -B \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0, \ D(\mathcal{A}) = \{{}^t(u,v) \in H_1 \times H; Au \in H, v \in D(\sqrt{A})\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_0 = H_1 \times H$ is the energy space, H_1 is the Hilbert space completion of $D(A)$ by the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_1} = \|\sqrt{A}u\|_H^2.$$

H^{-1} denotes the dual space of H_1. We note $D(\sqrt{A})$ is naturally embedded in H^1. So in what follows, we consider $D(\sqrt{A})$ is a subspace of H^1. By using this operator, we can rewrite the wave equation (1.5) as follows,

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \partial_t u \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \partial_t u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left.\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \partial_t u \end{pmatrix}\right|_{t=0} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in D(\sqrt{A}) \times H. \tag{2.1}$$

Since we are considering the damped wave equation, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *$\mathcal{A}$ is a m-dissipative operator.*

Proof. From the definition, we easily prove $\mathcal{A}$ is closed. For $(u,v) \in D(A)$, we compute as follows

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{A}{}^t(u,v), {}^t(u,v))_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathrm{Re}\{(A^{1/2}v, A^{1/2}u)_H - (Au + Bv, v)_H\} = -(Bv,v)_H \leq 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is dissipative. □

From this proposition, we have the following resolvent estimate for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0} \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}\lambda}.$$

So by Hille-Yosida Theorem, $\mathcal{A}$ generates a contraction semi-group

$$U(t) = e^{t\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0.$$

To an initial date ${}^t(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}_0$, we write the solution as follows

$${}^t(u(t), v(t)) = U(t){}^t(u_0, u_1).$$

We restrict the initial date since the energy space $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a exotic space. For ${}^t(u_0, u_1) \in D(A) \times D(\sqrt{A}) \subset D(\mathcal{A})$, since $U(t){}^t(u_0,u_1)$ is C^1, we have the following identity in H^1

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t v(s)ds.$$

By the density argument, the above identity also holds for ${}^t(u_0, u_1) \in D(\sqrt{A}) \times H$. From the right hand side of the above identity.,we can regard $u(t) \in H$. So we introduce the space $\mathcal{H} = D(\sqrt{A}) \times H$ by the norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \{\|u\|_H^2 + \|u\|_{H_1}^2 + \|v\|_H^2\}^{1/2}.$$

Since $U(t)$ is a contraction operator, from the above identity, we have the following bound for the propagator

$$\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}} \leq C(1+t). \tag{2.2}$$

In this paper, we call $u(t) \in D(\sqrt{A})$ for ${}^t(u(t), v(t)) = U(t){}^t(u_0, u_1)$, $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}$ as the solution to (1.5).

3 Resolvent estimate

We prove some related estimates to the resolvent of $\mathcal{A}$. Probably these estimates are well-known, c.f. [5], but for completeness, we give the proof. First we study the following operator which is almost similar to the resolvent

$$R(\lambda) = (\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)^{-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

From the positivity of B, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > -\delta$ and $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, $R(\lambda) : H \to D(A)$ exists and the following bound holds*

$$\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H} = \mathcal{O}(|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^{-1}). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For $u \in D(A)$, we have

$$((\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)u, u)_H = ((|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|^2 - |\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^2 + B\mathrm{Re}\lambda + A)u, u)_H + i\mathrm{Im}\lambda((2\mathrm{Re}\lambda + B)u, u)_H. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking imaginary part of the above identity and using $B \geq cId$ we have the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} |((\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)u, u)_H| &\geq |\mathrm{Im}\lambda((2\mathrm{Re}\lambda + B)u, u)_H| \\ &\geq |\mathrm{Im}\lambda|(c + 2\mathrm{Re}\lambda)(u, u)_H \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > -c/2$. So $(\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)$ is injective if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda$ is sufficiently small and $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \neq 0$. We can also prove $(\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)$ is surjective by considering adjoint operator $(\overline{\lambda^2} + B\overline{\lambda} + A)$ and applying similar argument. So the inverse exists and we have the bound. $\square$

Taking real part of the identity (3.3) and applying similar argument, we can also prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > |\mathrm{Im}\lambda|$, then $R(\lambda)$ exists and the following bound holds*

$$\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H} = \mathcal{O}(|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|^{-1}). \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.3. *From the identity $(\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)^* = \overline{\lambda^2} + B\overline{\lambda} + A$, we have $R(\lambda)^* = R(\overline{\lambda})$. So if $R(\lambda)$ exists then $R(\overline{\lambda})$ exists.*

Next we estimate $R(\lambda)$ as an operator between different Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 3.4. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists, then the following bound holds*

$$\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H_1} = \mathcal{O}(\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. For $u \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\lambda)u\|_{H_1}^2 &= (\sqrt{A}R(\lambda)u, \sqrt{A}R(\lambda)u)_H \\ &= (AR(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)u)_H \\ &= ((A + \lambda^2 + B\lambda)R(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)u)_H - ((\lambda^2 + B\lambda)R(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)u)_H \\ &= (u, R(\lambda)u)_H - ((\lambda^2 + B\lambda)R(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)u)_H \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H} + (|\lambda| + |\lambda|^2)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^2)\|u\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.5. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists, then $R(\lambda)A : D(A) \to H$ can be extend to $H_1 \to H$ and the following bound holds*

$$\|R(\lambda)A\|_{H_1\to H} = \mathcal{O}(\|R(\lambda))\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. By $R(\lambda)^* = R(\overline{\lambda})$ and Lemma 3.4, $R(\overline{\lambda})$ is a bounded operator from H to H_1. Since $R(\lambda)^* = R(\overline{\lambda})$, we can regard $R(\lambda)$ as an operator from H^{-1} to H by duality argument. From Lemma 3.4, we have the following estimate

$$R(\lambda) : H^{-1} \to H = \mathcal{O}(\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}).$$

We extend A to the operator form H_1 to H^{-1} by using the following identity

$$\langle Au, v\rangle_{H^{-1},H_1} = (\sqrt{A}u, \sqrt{A}v)_H.$$

From the definition, A satisfies

$$A : H_1 \to H^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Thus we obtain the lemma. □

Lemma 3.6. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists for a $\lambda \neq 0$ then $R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) : D(A) \to H_1$ can be extend to $H_1 \to H_1$ and the following bound holds*

$$\|R(\lambda)(\lambda + B)\|_{H_1\to H_1} = \mathcal{O}((|\lambda|^{-1/2} + 1)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (1 + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H} + |\lambda|^{-1}). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We will estimate $R(\lambda)(\lambda + B)$ using the following identity

$$R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda}R(\lambda)A.$$

For $f \in D(A)$, we consider the following equation

$$R(\lambda)Af = u.$$

This can be written as follows

$$Af = (\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)u.$$

So we have

$$(Au, u)_H = (Af, u)_H - ((\lambda^2 + B\lambda)u, u)_H.$$

Taking real part, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H_1}^2 \leq C((|\lambda|^2 + |\lambda|)\|u\|_H^2 + \|f\|_{H_1}^2) + \varepsilon\|u\|_{H_1}^2.$$

Thus

$$\|R(\lambda)Af\|_{H_1} \leq C((|\lambda|_H^{1/2} + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)Af\|_H + \|f\|_{H_1}).$$

Applying (3.6), the following bound holds

$$\|R(\lambda)Af\|_{H_1} \leq C((|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (|\lambda| + |\lambda|^2)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}) + 1)\|f\|_{H_1}).$$

By density, we conclude the extension and the estimate. □

By these lemmas, we can give the existence of the resolvent.

Proposition 3.7. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists for a $\lambda \neq 0$, then the resolvent $(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0$ exists and the following identity holds*

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) & R(\lambda) \\ -R(\lambda)A & R(\lambda)\lambda \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

The following estimate also holds

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_0\to\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}((1 + |\lambda|^{-1/2})\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}^{1/2} + (1 + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H\to H}) + |\lambda|^{-1}). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, the right hand side of (3.8) can be extend as an bounded operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$. By the direct computation, if ${}^t(u, v) \in D(A) \times D(A)$ then

$$\begin{pmatrix} R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) & R(\lambda) \\ -R(\lambda)A & R(\lambda)\lambda \end{pmatrix} (\lambda - \mathcal{A}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) & R(\lambda) \\ -R(\lambda)A & R(\lambda)\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -Id \\ A & \lambda + B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

So by density it is the left inverse. On the other hand for ${}^t(u,v) \in D(A) \times D(\sqrt{A})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -Id \\ A & \lambda + B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R(\lambda)(\lambda+B) & R(\lambda) \\ -R(\lambda)A & R(\lambda)\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 \\ AR(\lambda)(\lambda+B) - (\lambda+B)R(\lambda)A & Id \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

We use the following trick, for a $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\lambda(AR(\lambda)(\lambda+B) - (\lambda+B)R(\lambda)A)u = (AR(\lambda)(\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A) - (\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)R(\lambda)A)u = 0.$$

Thus the density argument, it is also the right inverse. From Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we have the bound. ☐

Remark 3.8. *From this proposition, we have the following identities*

$$((\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\, {}^t(0,u), {}^t(0,v))_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \lambda(R(\lambda)u, v)_H,$$
$$((\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\, {}^t(0,u), {}^t(v,0))_{\mathcal{H}_0} = (R(\lambda)u, v)_{H_1}.$$

The left hand side is complex analytic since the resolvent is analytic. So the right had side is complex analytic so $R(\lambda) : H \to H, H \to H_1$ are complex analytic family of operators.

Applying Lemma 3.4 directly to $R(\lambda)(\lambda + B) : \ H \to H_1$, we also have the following estimates to the resolvent.

Proposition 3.9. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists for a $\lambda \neq 0$, then we have the following estimate*

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}((|\lambda| + 1)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H \to H}^{1/2} + (|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|^2)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H \to H}). \tag{3.10}$$

This proposition says if we restrict the domain of the resolvent to $\mathcal{H}$ then the singularity, as λ tend to 0, become weaker one. This estimate is important for energy decay. We also have the following estimate.

Proposition 3.10. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists for a $\lambda \neq 0$, then we have the following estimate*

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}((1 + |\lambda|^{-1/2})\|R(\lambda)\|_{H \to H}^{1/2} + (1 + |\lambda|)\|R(\lambda)\|_{H \to H} + |\lambda|^{-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we conclude the following estimates of the resolvent.

Proposition 3.11. *If $|\mathrm{Re}\lambda| < c$ for sufficient small $c > 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, then the resolvent satisfies*

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}(|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^{-1} + 1),$$
$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}((1 + |\lambda|)|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^{-1/2} + (|\lambda|^{1/2} + |\lambda|^2)|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^{-1}),$$
$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|^{-1} + 1).$$

If $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$, the following estimate also holds

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1} + 1),$$
$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}(1 + |\lambda| + |\lambda|^{-1/2}),$$
$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1} + 1).$$

4 Abstract energy decay

We prove the following abstract energy decay estimate to the damped wave equation. The theorem may be well known but we prove here for later argument.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a constant C such that for any ${}^t(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}$, and $t > 1$, the following bound holds*

$$\|U(t)^t(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq C(t^{-1/2}\|^t(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Remark 4.2. *We give one example which may be useful to understand the proof of this theorem. We consider the constant coefficient damped wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^d$*

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta + \partial_t)u = 0.$$

The Fourier transform of the solution is the following form

$$u_+(\xi)\mathrm{exp}\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t\right)+u_-(\xi)\exp\left(\frac{-1-\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t\right).$$

For simplicity, we assume u_+ and u_- are in L^2, then u_- part decays exponentially so it is no interest. If $\xi = 0$, u_+ part does not decay therefore uniform decay does not occur if we only assume $u_+(\xi) \in L^2$. However if we assume $|\xi|u_+(\xi) \in L^2$, we have the uniform decay estimate, by using the following decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| |\xi|u_+(\xi)\mathrm{exp}(\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t)\right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \chi_{\{|\xi|<1/2\}}|\xi|u_+(\xi)\mathrm{exp}(\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t)\right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \chi_{\{|\xi|\geq 1/2\}}|\xi|u_+(\xi)\mathrm{exp}(\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-4|\xi|^2}}{2}t)\right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \chi_{\{|\xi|<1/2\}}|\xi|\mathrm{exp}(-|\xi|^2t)\right\|_{L^\infty} \|u_+(\xi)\|_{L^2} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-t})\||\xi|u_+(\xi)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2})(\|u_+(\xi)\|_{L^2} + \||\xi|u_+(\xi)\|_{L^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\chi_{\{\cdot\}}$ denote the characteristic functions. The high frequency part decays exponentially and the low frequency part becomes the main part. This type decomposition will be used in the proof of the theorem. We also remark that the above estimate is optimal without any further assumption for u_+.

We give a proof of the theorem. First we assume the Cauchy data $f = {}^t(u_0, u_1)$ is in $D(\mathcal{A}^k)$ for large k and $u_0 \in H$. So $U(t)f$ is in C^k as t variable function. We take a smooth cut-off function ψ satisfying

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 2, \\ 0, & t < 1. \end{cases}$$

We shall estimate the following cut-off propagator as in [4], [11], [19].

$$V(t)f = \psi(t)U(t)f.$$

From (2.2), we can define its Fourier-Laplace transform by

$$\widehat{Vf}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t}V(t)fdt$$

if Im $\lambda = -$Re $i\lambda = -\varepsilon < 0$. Then by Fourier inversion formula, the following identity holds

$$V(t)f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon} e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{Vf}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

By definition, we have the identity

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{A})V(t)f = \psi'(t)U(t)f.$$

Taking its Fourier-Laplace transform, we get

$$(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})\widehat{Vf}(\lambda) = \widehat{\psi'Uf}(\lambda).$$

if Im $\lambda = -\varepsilon < 0$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a dissipative operator the resolvent exists for Im$\lambda = -\varepsilon < 0$ and we obtain

$$\widehat{Vf}(\lambda) = (i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\widehat{\psi'Uf}(\lambda).$$

We take its inverse Fourier transform and we get

$$V(t)f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon}(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi'Uf}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Since $\psi'(t)$ has a compact support, $\widehat{\psi'Uf}(\lambda)$ is a holomorphic function and by the results of previous section, $(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ can be holomorphically extended to Re $i\lambda = -a < 0$ if $a > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant and Im $i\lambda \neq 0$. Since $U(t)f$ is C^k in $D(A) \times H$, $\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)$ decays sufficiently first as λ tend to infinity, so we can change the integral contour as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V(t)f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = a} \chi(\lambda)(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} e^{i\lambda t} \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda) d\lambda + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} e^{i\lambda t} \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= e^{-at} I_1 f(t) + I_2 f(t) = (\text{High frequency part}) + (\text{low frequency part}). \end{aligned}$$

Here χ is a cut-off function defined by

$$\chi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\mathrm{Re}\lambda| \geq \delta, \\ 0, & |\mathrm{Re}\lambda| < \delta, \end{cases}$$

for sufficient small $\delta > 0$. $\mathcal{C}$ which we choose later, is a contour around the origin connecting two points $\pm\delta + ia$. We prove the following bound of I_1 by using the method of [11] which is known as Morawetz's argument

$$\|e^{-at} I_1 f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq C e^{(-a+\varepsilon)t} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}. \tag{4.1}$$

First we estimate L^2 norm of $I_1 f$. Using Plancheral's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_1 f(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = a} e^{(i\lambda + a)\tau} \chi(\lambda)(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda) d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\chi(\lambda + ia)(i\lambda - a - \mathcal{A})^{-1} \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda + ia)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 3.11 and χ cut low frequency, $\chi(\lambda + ia)(i\lambda - a - \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ so we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_1 f(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda + ia) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\lambda \\ &= C \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2at} \|\psi'(t) U(t) f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

For last identity, we use Plancheral's identity again. Since ψ' is a compact support function, we have the following estimate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_1 f(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2. \tag{4.2}$$

We give point-wise bound of $I_1 f(t)$ using this estimate. From this inequality, there exist $0 < s < 2$ such that

$$\|I_1 f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t I_1 f(t) - \mathcal{A} I_1 f(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = a} \chi(\lambda) e^{(i\lambda + a)t} \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda) d\lambda + a I_1 f(t) \\ &= I_3 f(t) + a I_1 f(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Duhamel's principle, we have

$$I_1 f(t) = U(t-s) I_1 f(s) + \int_s^t U(t-\tau)(I_3 f(\tau) + a I_1 f(\tau)) d\tau. \tag{4.3}$$

We shall estimate the last part. First we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t \|U(t-\tau) I_3 f(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau &= \int_s^t \left\| U(t-\tau) \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = a} e^{(i\lambda + a)\tau} \chi(\lambda) \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)) d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\| \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = a} e^{(i\lambda + a)\tau} \chi(\lambda) \widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)) d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^2 d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

since $U(t)$ is a propagator of a dissipative operator. By Plancheral's identity we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t \|U(t-\tau)I_3 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau &\le \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\chi(\lambda+ia)\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda+ia)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\lambda \\ &\le C\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda+ia)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

We use Plancheral's identity again and since ψ' is a compact support function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t \|U(t-\tau)I_3 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau &\le C\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{2at}\|\psi'(t)U(t)f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} dt \\ &\le C\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By the Schwartz inequality, from (4.3), (4.2) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\varepsilon t}\|I_1 f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &\le e^{-\varepsilon t}\|U(t-s)I_1 f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + \left\|\int_s^t e^{-\varepsilon t}U(t-\tau)(I_3 f(\tau)+aI_1 f(\tau))d\tau\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + \left\{\int_s^t e^{-2\varepsilon t}d\tau\right\}^{1/2}\left\{\int_s^t \|U(t-\tau)I_3 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau + \int_s^t \|U(t-\tau)aI_1 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau\right\}^{1/2} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + C\left\{\int_s^t \|U(t-\tau)I_3 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|I_1 f(\tau)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau\right\}^{1/2} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}.\end{aligned}$$

So $e^{-at}I_1 f(t)$ decays exponentially.

Next we study $I_2 f$ part. We show the following estimate.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists $C>0$ such that for any $t>1$ and $f\in\mathcal{H}$, the following bound holds*

$$\|I_2 f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \le C(t^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Proof. We choose the contour as follows $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_o\cup\mathcal{C}_+\cup\mathcal{C}_-$. $\mathcal{C}_o = \{\frac{1}{t}e^{is}; s\in[-\pi,0]\}$, $\mathcal{C}_+ = \{(1-s)\frac{1}{t} + s(\delta+ia); s\in[0,1]\}$, $\mathcal{C}_- = \{-(1-s)\frac{1}{t} + s(-\delta+ia); s\in[0,1]\}$. Here we impose suitable orientation on these contours. We estimate each contours in the following integral,

$$I_2 f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}_o\cup\mathcal{C}_+\cup\mathcal{C}_-} (i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U(\lambda)}f d\lambda.$$

We have $\|(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2})$ on $\mathcal{C}_o$ by Proposition 3.11 and the length of $\mathcal{C}_o$ is $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$. So the following bound holds

$$\left\|\int_{\mathcal{C}_o}(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}t^{1/2}\sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_o}\|\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right).$$

From (2.2) and ψ' is a compact support function, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_o}\|\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_o}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\lambda s}\psi'(s)U(s)f ds\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(1)\|\psi'\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

So we have the estimate for $\mathcal{C}_o$ part. Next we estimate $\mathcal{C}_+$ part, for sufficient large t, we have $|\lambda|\sim|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|\sim s+1/t$ on $\mathcal{C}_+$. So by Proposition 3.11, we obtain

$$\|(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{O}(t^{1/2}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\int_{\mathcal{C}_+}(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda\right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &= \mathcal{O}\int_0^1 t^{1/2}e^{-ast}ds\sup_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_+}\|\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can estimate $\mathcal{C}_-$ part and we have proved. □

Thus we have proved the abstract energy decay of the damped wave equation for sufficiently regular initial data. Then by density argument, we obtain the theorem.

Remark 4.4. *In the proof, we essentially use the following facts*
(*i*) *the existence of the resolvent for* $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \neq 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > -c$, $c > 0$,
(*ii*) *uniform estimate of resolvent for large* λ *which comes from* $|Im\lambda|^{-1}$ *order estimate of* $R(\lambda)$,
(*iii*) $|\lambda|^{-1/2}$ *order estimate of the resolvent near the origin which comes from* $|\lambda|^{-1}$ *estimate of* $R(\lambda)$ *for* $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Im}\lambda \sim |\lambda|$ *regions.*

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

From now on we shall prove Theorem 1.1. We recall $\tilde{A} = B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2}$. Since $B^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $D(A)$ to $D(A)$, this operator is also a self-adjoint operator whose domain is $D(A)$. By the spectral theorem, we introduce a projection operator $\phi(\tilde{A})$. Here ϕ is a cut-off function defined as follows

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq \varepsilon, \\ 0, & x < \varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

By using this function, we define a cut-off operator by

$$\phi_B(A) = B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})B^{1/2}.$$

Then we have

$$\phi_B(A)^2 = \phi_B(A), \quad \phi_B(A)^* = B^{1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})B^{-1/2}.$$

Since $U(t) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, we can apply $\phi_B(A)$ to $U(t)f = {}^t(u(t), v(t))$, $f = {}^t(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\phi_B(A)U(t)f = {}^t(\phi_B(A)u(t), \phi_B(A)v(t)).$$

To prove Theorem 1.3, we use the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} U(t)f &= \phi_B(A)U(t)f + (1 - \phi_B(A))U(t)f \\ &= (\text{High frequency part}) + (\text{Low frequency part}) \end{aligned}$$

and estimate each part. First we estimate the High frequency part.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists* $C > 0$ *such that for any* $t > 1$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{H}$, *we have the following bound*

$$\|\phi_B(A)U(t)f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq Ct^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Proof. Applying similar argument to the previous section, for sufficient regular f, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_B(A)\psi(t)U(t)f &= \phi_B(A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=a} \chi(\lambda)(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\quad + \phi_B(A)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}} (i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &= \phi_B(A)e^{-at}I_1 f(t) + \phi_B(A)I_2 f(t) \\ &= (\text{High frequency part}) + (\text{Low frequency part}) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\psi(t)$ and $\chi(\lambda)$ are cut-off functions introduced in previous section, $a > 0$ is a sufficient small constant and $\mathcal{C}$ is the contour around the origin which is also used in the former section. Since $\psi(t) = 1$ for large t, we have

$$\phi_B(A)U(t)f = \phi_B(A)\psi(t)U(t)f$$

for large t. So we need the estimate of $\phi_B(A)\psi(t)U(t)f$. The estimate of $e^{-at}I_1 f(t)$ is essentially similar to the argument to Proposition 4.1 but we need small modification in (4.4) since we only know the estimate (2.2) and $U(t - \tau)$ may be not bounded. In this case, we can prove the following estimate by similar argument for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_s^t \|e^{-\varepsilon t}U(t - \tau)I_3 f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 d\tau \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

So we conclude the following bound

$$\|\phi_B(A)e^{-at}I_1f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(e^{-(a-2\varepsilon)t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}). \tag{5.2}$$

Next we shall estimate $\phi_B(A)I_2f$ by using the operator

$$(B\lambda + A)^{-1} = B^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}.$$

This identity is easily seen from $(B\lambda + A) = B^{1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{A})B^{1/2}$. From the following identity

$$(\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)(B\lambda + A)^{-1} = Id + \lambda^2(B\lambda + A)^{-1},$$

we also have

$$R(\lambda) = (B\lambda + A)^{-1} - \lambda^2(B\lambda + A)^{-1}R(\lambda). \tag{5.3}$$

Here $R(\lambda) = (\lambda^2 + B\lambda + A)^{-1}$ and $(B\lambda + A)^{-1}$ are commutative operators. We recall the identity

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} = R(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + B) & Id \\ -A & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = R(\lambda)M(\lambda). \tag{5.4}$$

Applying these identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_B(A)I_2f(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi_B(A)\{(Bi\lambda + A)^{-1} + \lambda^2(Bi\lambda + A)^{-1}R(i\lambda)\}M(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &= J_1f(t) + J_2f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$J_1f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}M(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

$$J_2f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2(i\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{5.5}$$

Since $\phi(\tilde{A})$ is a cut-off operator to the high frequency part. $\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}$ can be holomorphically extended across the origin. Since $B^{1/2}$ and $B^{-1/2}$ are continuous on $D(A)$, by interpolation we have the following estimate if λ is sufficiently near the origin,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}u\|_{H_1}^2 &= \|\sqrt{A}B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}u\|_H^2 \\ &= (\tilde{A}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}u, \phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}u)_H \\ &= \|\sqrt{\tilde{A}}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}u\|_H^2 \\ &= \|\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}\sqrt{\tilde{A}}u\|_H^2 \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\|\sqrt{\tilde{A}}u\|_H^2) = \mathcal{O}(\|u\|_{H_1}^2 + \|u\|_H^2). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, using $(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}\tilde{A} = Id - i\lambda(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}$, near the origin, we have the following bound

$$\|B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}M(i\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

For J_1f, we deform the contour to Re $i\lambda < 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_1f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left\|\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=\mathrm{a},|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|<\delta} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}M(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)d\lambda\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\le C\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=\mathrm{a},|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|<\delta} |e^{i\lambda t}|\|\widehat{\psi' U f}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}}d\lambda \\ &\le Ce^{-at}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus this part decays exponentially. We apply same contour deformation as in Proposition 4.3 to J_2f. Since $\|\lambda^2(i\lambda+\mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ for Re $i\lambda > 0$, we obtain $\|\lambda^2(i\lambda+\mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(1/t)$ on $\mathcal{C}_o$ which is defined in the proof of Proposition 4.3. Then the length of $\mathcal{C}_o$ is $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$ and $\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}$ is bounded, we obtain

$$\left\| \int_{\mathcal{C}_o} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

On $\mathcal{C}_+$, we have $|\lambda| \sim |\mathrm{Re}\lambda| \sim s+1/t$. So $\|(i\lambda+\mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| \int_{\mathcal{C}_+} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\mathcal{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)d\lambda \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1)\int_0^1 t(s+1/t)^2 e^{-ast}ds\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

We can get the same estimate for $\mathcal{C}_-$. Now by density, we have proved. □

Next we estimate the low frequency part: $(1-\phi_B(A))U(t)f = \tilde{\phi}_B(A)U(t)f$. Here we write

$$\tilde{\phi} = 1-\phi.$$

We assume f is sufficiently regular then by the similar argument used in the previous section, for a cut-off function ψ, the following identity holds

$$\tilde{\phi}_B(A)\psi(t)U(t)f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)M(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Writing $U(t)f = {}^t(u(t),v(t))$, $\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda) = {}^t(\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0, \widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1)$, we have the following identity for large t

$$\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\left((i\lambda+B)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0 + \widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1\right)d\lambda. \tag{5.6}$$

We define $\psi_n(t) = \psi(nt)$ for the cut-off function ψ satisfying $\psi' \geqq 0$. Then ψ_n' become a Dirac sequence i.e. $\psi_n'(t) \to \delta(t)$, as $n\to\infty$ where $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac measure. We change ψ in the above integral to a sequence of cut-off functions $\{\psi_n\}$. So $\widehat{\psi_n' Uf}(\lambda) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}U(0)f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}f$ as $n\to\infty$ and we would like to take this limit in the integral. To verify this limiting argument, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If $R(\lambda)$ exists for a $\lambda \neq 0$ then we have the following bound*

$$\|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(\lambda)u\|_H = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}\|u\|_H) + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}(|\lambda|+1)\|R(\lambda)u\|_H),$$

$$\|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(\lambda)Av\|_H = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}\|v\|_H) + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}(|\lambda|+1)\|R(\lambda)Av\|_H),$$

for $u \in H$ and $v \in D(A)$.

Remark 5.3. *If A is bounded, then $\lambda^2+\lambda B + A = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ for large λ. So we can expect nearly $|\lambda|^{-2}$ estimate of the high frequency cut-off resolvent.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A}) : H \to D(A)$ and $B^{1/2} : D(A) \to D(A)$ are bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)Au\|_H &= \|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)A\|_{H\to H}\|u\|_H = \|(\tilde{\phi}_B(A)A)^*\|_{H\to H}\|u\|_H \\ &= \|AB^{1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})B^{-1/2}\|_{H\to H}\|u\|_H \leq C\|u\|_H.\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\|(\tilde{\phi}_B(A)(\lambda^2+B\lambda+A)u\|_H \geq |\lambda|^2\|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u\|_H - C(|\lambda|+1)\|u\|_H.$$

Thus

$$\|\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u\|_H \leq |\lambda|^{-2}\|(\tilde{\phi}_B(A)(\lambda^2+B\lambda+A)u\|_H + C|\lambda|^{-2}(|\lambda|+1)\|u\|_H.$$

Taking $u = R(\lambda)v$ and $u = R(\lambda)Av$, we have the desired inequality. □

Since $R(\lambda)(\lambda+B)=(1+R(\lambda)A)/\lambda$, by (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t)=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\frac{1}{i\lambda}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0 d\lambda\\&+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda=-\varepsilon}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\left(\frac{1}{i\lambda}R(i\lambda)A\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0+R(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1\right)d\lambda\\=&\tilde{J}_1(t)+\tilde{J}_2(t).\end{aligned}$$

If supp $\psi'\subset[0,c]$ we have the following estimate

$$\|\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\lambda s}\psi'(s)U(s)f ds\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le Ce^{c\mathrm{Im}\lambda}\|\psi'\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}=Ce^{c\mathrm{Im}\lambda}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

So for sufficient large t, in the integral $\tilde{J}_1$, we change the contour to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}=\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_-\cup\mathcal{C}$. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\pm=\{\pm s+is; s\in[\delta,\infty)\}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a contour around the origin. Thanks to the estimate $|e^{i\lambda t}|\leqq Ce^{-t\mathrm{Im}\lambda}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_-$, if t is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_1(t)&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_-\cup\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\frac{1}{i\lambda}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0 d\lambda\\&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\frac{1}{i\lambda}\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0 d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\delta(t-c)}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})\end{aligned}$$

in H. For $\tilde{J}_2$, we change the contour to $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}=\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_-\cup\mathcal{C}$. Here $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\pm=\{\pm s+i\varepsilon; s\in[\delta,\infty)\}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a contour around the origin as in the proof of Proposition 4.3. By Lemma 5.2, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.1, on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\pm$, we have the following estimate,

$$\left\|\frac{1}{i\lambda}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)A\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0+\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1\right\|_H=\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}\|\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{H}})=\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

This is integrable and changing the contour, we obtain

$$\tilde{J}_2(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\frac{1}{i\lambda}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)A\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0+\tilde{\phi}_B(A)R(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})$$

in H. Thus for sufficient large t, we have

$$\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\left(R(i\lambda)(i\lambda+B)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_0+R(i\lambda)\widehat{\psi' Uf}(\lambda)_1\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Changing ψ in the above identity to the sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ and we take n to infinity. Since $\|\psi_n'\|_{L^1}=\|\psi'\|_{L^1}$ and supp $\psi_n\subset[0,c]$, the exponential term decays uniformly with respect to n and $\mathcal{C}$ is compact, we can take limit under the integral sign. So tending n to infinity, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_B(A)e^{i\lambda t}\left(R(i\lambda)(i\lambda+B)u_0+R(i\lambda)u_1\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})\\&=\tilde{J}_3(t)+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

Finally we apply the identity (5.3) to get the asymptotic profile. By (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_3(t)=&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(i\lambda u_0\right)d\lambda\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2R(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\left((i\lambda+B)u_0+u_1\right)d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

In the former integral, we can add contours $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\pm=\{\pm s+is; s\in[\varepsilon,\infty)\}$, modulo exponential decaying factor. To the latter integrals, we apply same contour deformation as in Proposition 4.3. Then on the contour, $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}$ and $R(i\lambda)$ are $|\lambda|^{-1}$ order. So we obtain these integrals have $1/t$ bound. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_3(t)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_-}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(1/t)(\|u_0\|_H+\|u_1\|_H)\\&=\tilde{J}_4(t)+\mathcal{O}(1/t)(\|u_0\|_H+\|u_1\|_H).\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

Since $\tilde{A}$ is self-adjoint operator, it is a generator of an analytic semi-group and we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}_o\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_+\cup\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_-}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}d\lambda = e^{-t\tilde{A}}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_4(t) =& B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}_o\cup\mathcal{C}_+\cup\mathcal{C}_-}B^{-1/2}(1-\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A}))(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda.\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Since (5.1), $1-\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})=\phi(\tilde{A})$ cut low frequency, $\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}$ is analytic near the origin. Thanks to the $e^{it\lambda}$ factor, by the contour deformation across the origin, we know the latter decays exponentially. By (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and density, we conclude

Proposition 5.4. *If ϕ cut high frequency, then there exists $C>0$ such that the following bound holds for $t>1$ and $u(t)$ which is the solution of the problem* (1.5) *with the initial deta ${}^t(u_0,u_1)\in\mathcal{H}$*

$$\|(1-\phi_B(A))u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)\|_H\le C(t^{-1}(\|u_0\|_H+\|u_1\|_H)+t^{-2}\|u_0\|_{H_1}).$$

From Proposition 5.1 and 5.4, we have proved Theorem 1.1.

Remark 5.5. *From the proof, we can get more sharp decay i.e. we can change $t^{-1}\|\sqrt{A}u_0\|_H$ term to $t^{-2}\|\sqrt{A}u_0\|_H$. Moreover if we apply the argument of Proposition* 6.3*, we can get this term is $t^{-\infty}$ order.*

Next we show the optimality and an improvement of Theorem 1.1. The following statement says the optimality of the decay rate of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.6. *Assume that* 0 *belongs to the spectrum of A. Then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\sup_{\|{}^t(u_0,u_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}}\le 1}t\|u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\|_H>0$$

where $u(t)$ is the solution of the problem (1.5)*.*

Theorem 1.1 is an uniform estimate and for individual solution, we can obtain the following result.

Proposition 5.7. *For each solution $u(t)$ of the problem* (1.5) *with ${}^t(u_0,u_1)\in\mathcal{H}$, we have*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}t\|u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\|_H=0.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.7. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, the main part of $u(t)$ is $\tilde{J}_3(t)$. Since $(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2R(i\lambda)i\lambda=\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|)$ on $\mathcal{C}$, we can apply the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 and 5.1, this part is negligible in $\tilde{J}_3$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}I(t)&=u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}u_0-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\\&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(i\lambda u_0\right)d\lambda\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2R(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

For latter integral, we apply (5.3). Since $R(i\lambda)$ and $(Bi\lambda+A)^{-1}$ are $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1})$ on $\mathcal{C}$, we can neglect the remainder term. For former integral, we apply the similar argument to get heat type asymptotic behavior. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I(t)=&-B^{-1/2}\tilde{A}e^{-\tilde{A}t}B^{-1/2}u_0+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda\\&+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

For ϕ satisfying (5.1), we define $\phi_n(\tilde{A})=\phi(n\tilde{A})$. Then by the spectral theorem, we have

$$\phi_n(\tilde{A})u\to u\ \text{ in } H\ \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

We decompose $I(t)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}I(t) &= -B^{-1/2}\tilde{A}e^{-\tilde{A}t}B^{-1/2}u_0\\ &+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}\phi_n(\tilde{A})B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda\\ &+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda t}(1-\phi_n(\tilde{A}))B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda\\ &+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_n(\tilde{A})$ cut low frequency, $(i\lambda+\tilde{A})\phi_n(\tilde{A})$ is bounded near the origin. So in the first integral, the integrand is $|\lambda|$ order and can be estimate $C_n t^{-2}$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 and 5.1. The second integral can be estimated as in the estimate of (5.8) and we get uniform t^{-1} estimate. Since $(1-\phi_n(\tilde{A}))B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)\to 0$ in H as $n\to H$. By letting n sufficiently large, this part decays εt^{-1} order for any $\varepsilon>0$. Now we recall the fact, $t\tilde{A}e^{-\tilde{A}t}u\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$, for individual u. This fact is easily observed from the spectral theory. Thus we have proved. ☐

Proof of Proposition 5.6. For simplicity, we can assume $u_0=0$. From the proof of Proposition 5.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\lambda^2 B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}u_1 d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|u_1\|_H).\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(u(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1,u_1)_H\\ &=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}(B^{-1}\lambda^2e^{i\lambda t}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}u_1,\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\overline{\lambda}+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}u_1)_H d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}\|u_1\|_H^2).\end{aligned}$$

For $a\in\sigma(\tilde{A})\cap\operatorname{supp}\tilde{\phi}$, we take a sequence of functions f_n such that $\psi_n(\tilde{A})f_n=f_n$ and $\|f_n\|_H=1$. Here

$$\psi_n(x)=\begin{cases}1, & |x-a|\le 1/n,\\ 0, & |x-a|>1/n.\end{cases}$$

We take $u_1^n=B^{1/2}f_n$, then

$$\frac{1}{C}=\frac{1}{C}\|B^{-1/2}u_1^n\|_H\le\|B^{-1}u_1^n\|_H\le C\|B^{-1/2}u_1^n\|_H=C.$$

So if necessary, we take a subsequence and we can assume $\|B^{-1}u_1^n\|_H$ converge to $\beta_a\ge 1/C$ as n tends to infinity. We also note $\|Af_n\|_H$ is uniformly bounded so from the continuity of $B^{1/2}$ and interpolation, $\|u_1^n\|_{H_1}$ is uniformly bounded. For $\lambda\in\mathcal{C}$, we easily see

$$(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}u_1^n-(i\lambda+a)^{-1}B^{-1/2}u_1^n\to 0$$

in H as n tends to infinity and the convergence is locally uniform. We have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(u^n(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1^n,u_1^n)_H=\beta_a\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\lambda^2e^{i\lambda t}(i\lambda+a)^{-2}d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).$$

In the above integral, we can change $\mathcal{C}$ to a simple closed contour $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by adding a contour with exponential error terms. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}(u^n(t)-B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_1^n,u_1^n)_H &= i\beta_a\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}\lambda^2e^{i\lambda t}\partial_\lambda(i\lambda+a)^{-1}d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2})\\ &=-\beta_a\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(2i\lambda e^{i\lambda t}-\lambda^2te^{i\lambda t})(i\lambda+a)^{-1}d(i\lambda)+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2})\\ &=\beta_a(2ae^{-at}-a^2te^{-at})+\mathcal{O}(t^{-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Taking $t=1/a$ and $a\to 0$. Since $\beta_a\ge 1/C$, we obtain this integral does not decay faster than t^{-1} order. So we have proved Proposition 5.6. ☐

6 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Here we study the asymptotic expansion of the propagator for proving Theorem 1.3. In this section, we assume $H = L^2$. We apply the identity (5.3) to (5.7) and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t) =& \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}\left(i\lambda u_0\right)d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}R(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}R(i\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}\left(i\lambda u_0\right)d\lambda+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \\
=& I+\tilde{I}+R_1+\tilde{R}_1+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}) = I+\tilde{I}+R+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.1}
$$

$R = R_1 + \tilde{R}_1$ is the reminder term and $\mathcal{C}$ is a contour around the origin as in the proof of Proposition 4.3. We have estimated I in previous section and get heat type asymptotic behavior modulo exponential decay.

$$
I = B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}(Bu_0+u_1)+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}). \tag{6.2}
$$

So we shall estimate $\tilde{I}$ and R. Since $(\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}(\lambda+\tilde{A}) = Id$, we have

$$
\tilde{I} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}u_0 d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}e^{i\lambda t}u_0 d\lambda
$$

In the former integral, the integrand is holomorphic. So by the contour deformation across the origin, we get exponential decay from $e^{it\lambda}$ term. In the latter integral, we can apply similar argument for proving heat type asymptotic behavior and obtain the following asymptotics

$$
\tilde{I} = -B^{-1/2}\tilde{A}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}u_0+\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}). \tag{6.3}
$$

By the assumption, we have the bound

$$
e^{-t/2\tilde{A}} : L^1 \to L^2 = \mathcal{O}(t^{-m}).
$$

Since $xe^{-x},\ x \geq 0$ is bounded, tAe^{-tA} is bounded. So we have

$$
\tilde{A}e^{-t/2\tilde{A}} : L^2 \to L^2 = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).
$$

$B^{-1/2}$ is also a bounded operator on L^1 so if $u_0 \in L^1$, using the identity $\tilde{A}e^{-t\tilde{A}} = \tilde{A}e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}$, we obtain

$$
\|\tilde{I}\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^1}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}})). \tag{6.4}
$$

Next we study the remainder term R. Since $\lambda^2 B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2} : H \to H = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|)$ on the contour, using (5.3) repeatedly, we obtain, for sufficiently large N

$$
\begin{aligned}
R =& \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\ i\lambda u_0\ d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda \\
&+\cdots+\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^N)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi}K_1+\frac{1}{2\pi}\tilde{K}_1+\frac{1}{2\pi}K_2+\cdots+\frac{1}{2\pi}K_N+\frac{1}{2\pi}\tilde{K}_N+\mathcal{O}(t^{-N-1})\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the latter estimate, we apply same contour deformation as in Proposition 4.3 by using the estimate $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^N)$ on the contour. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_n &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}(\lambda^2B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}))^n\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda, \\
\tilde{K}_n &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}(\lambda^2B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}))^n\ i\lambda u_0\ d\lambda.
\end{aligned}
$$

First we estimate K_1 and $\tilde{K}_1$.

Proposition 6.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimates hold for any $t > 1$ and $u_0 \in L^1 \cap D(\sqrt{A})$, $u_1 \in L^2 \cap L^1$*

$$\|K_1\|_{L^2} \leq C(t^{-m-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} + \|u_1)\|_{L^1\cap L^2}),$$
$$\|\tilde{K}_1\|_{L^2} \leq C(t^{-m-2}(\|u_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^1\cap L^2}).$$

Proof. We use the following identity

$$(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1} = (i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t(i\lambda+\tilde{A})/2} + \int_0^{t/2} e^{-t_1(i\lambda+\tilde{A})}dt_1. \tag{6.5}$$

Inserting this identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 = &\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t/2}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda \int_0^{t/2} dt_1\; e^{i\lambda(t-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\lambda^2(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is easily treated since we have the $(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1})$ on $\mathcal{C}$. For latter integrals, we apply the following identity

$$\lambda(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1} = -iId + i\tilde{A}(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 = &\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t/2}\mathcal{O}(1)e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)d\lambda \\ &- i\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda \int_0^{t/2} dt_1\; e^{i\lambda(t-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\lambda B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right) \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda \int_0^{t/2} dt_1\; e^{i\lambda(t-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right) \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda \int_0^{t/2} dt_1\; e^{i\lambda(t-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}^2(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

In second and third integrals, integrands are holomorphic with respect to λ variable, and $t - t_1 \geqq t/2$, we can deform the contour across the origin and get exponential decay. For the fourth integral, we can get heat type semi-group modulo exponential error by applying same argument as in the previous section. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 = &\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t/2}\mathcal{O}(1)d\lambda e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right) \\ &+ \int_0^{t/2} B^{-1/2}\phi(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}^2e^{-(t-t_1)\tilde{A}}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)dt_1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t})(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}) \\ = &\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t})(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying same contour deformation as in Proposition 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{I}_1\|_{L^2} &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}\|e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)\|_{L^2}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-1})(\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^{t/2} dt_1 = \mathcal{O}(t)$ and $\|\phi(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}^2e^{-t/4\tilde{A}}\|_{L^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{I}_2\|_{L^2} &= \mathcal{O}(t\|\phi(\tilde{A})\tilde{A}^2e^{-t/4\tilde{A}}\|_{L^2\to L^2}\sup_{0\leq t_1\leq t/2}\|e^{-\tilde{A}(3t/4-t_1)}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)\|_{L^2}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}\sup_{0\leq t_1\leq t/2}\|e^{-\tilde{A}(3t/4-t_1)}\|_{L^1\to L^2}\|B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0 + u_1\right)\|_{L^1}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_1)\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have estimated K_1 part. The estimate of $\tilde{K}_1$ is almost similar. □

We can apply similar idea for the estimate of K_n and $\tilde{K}_n$ terms, as an example, we next prove the following estimate

$$\|K_2\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-2}(\|u_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^1\cap L^2})).$$

By the identity (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 = &\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t/2} B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right)d\lambda \\ &+\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda \int_0^{t/2} dt_1\ e^{i\lambda(t-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^2 e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the following identity to the second integral

$$(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1} = (i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t/4(i\lambda+\tilde{A})} + \int_0^{t/4} e^{-t_2(i\lambda+\tilde{A})}dt_2, \tag{6.6}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 = &\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{i\lambda t/2}\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|)d\lambda e^{-t/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right) \\ &+\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_0^{t/2} dt_1\ e^{i\lambda(3t/4-t_1)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}\lambda^4(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t/4\tilde{A}}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right) \\ &+\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_0^{t/2} dt_1\int_0^{t/4} dt_2\ e^{i\lambda(t-t_1-t_2)}B^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{A})\lambda^4(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1}e^{-t_2\tilde{A}}B^{-1}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\left(Bu_0+u_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can apply similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 and we can get the estimate.

In the same way, we obtain

Proposition 6.2. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimates holds for any $t > 1$ and $u_0 \in L^1 \cap D(A)$, $u_1 \in L^2 \cap L^1$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n\|_{L^2} &\le C(t^{-m-n}(\|u_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^1\cap L^2}), \\ \|\tilde{K}_n\|_{L^2} &\le C(t^{-m-n-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^1\cap L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^1\cap L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

By these propositions, we have estimated $\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t)$ part and we have

$$\tilde{\phi}_B(A)u(t) = B^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}(Bu_0+u_1) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-1}\|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}). \tag{6.7}$$

Next we estimate $(1-\tilde{\phi}_B(A))u(t) = \phi_B(A)u(t)$ term by sharpening the estimate of Proposition 5.1. In the proof of Proposition 5.1, we have the decomposition

$$\phi_B(A)\psi(t)U(t)f = \frac{1}{2\pi}J_2 f + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon t}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Here $\psi(t) = 1$ for $t > 2$ and

$$J_2 f = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\ B^{-1/2}\lambda^2\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda(t-s)}B^{-1/2}\psi'(s)U(s)(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}f.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a generator of $U(t)$, we exchange $U(t)$ to $(i\lambda-\mathcal{A})^{-1}$. We apply similar argument to Proposition 6.1. By (5.3) and (5.4), we have

(6.8)

$$J_2 f = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\psi'(s)ds\ B^{-1/2}\lambda^2\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda(t-s)}B^{-1/2}U(s)B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}B^{-1/2}M(\lambda)f + R_2.$$

Here R_2 is the reminder term. We recall the support of ψ' is in $[1, 2]$. We apply identity (6.5) and $J_2 f$ becomes

(6.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_1^2\psi'(s)ds\ B^{-1/2}\lambda^2\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda(t/2-s)}B^{-1/2}U(s)B^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{-t\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}M(\lambda)f \\ &+\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\lambda\int_1^2\psi'(s)ds\int_0^{t/2} dt_1\ B^{-1/2}\lambda^2\phi(\tilde{A})(i\lambda+\tilde{A})^{-1}e^{i\lambda(t-t_1-s)}B^{-1/2}U(s)B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}M(\lambda)f + R_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral, on the contour, we have

$$\|(i\lambda + \tilde{A})^{-1}\|_{L^2\to H^1} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}),$$

and

$$\|e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}A\|_{L^1\to L^2} = \|\tilde{A}e^{-t\tilde{A}}B^{1/2}\|_{L^1\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-m-1}).$$

From these estimate, we can estimate the first integral by similar contour deformation as in Proposition 4.3 and get t^{-m-2} order decay. In the second integral, we need the following estimate

$$\|B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}M(\lambda)f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{O}(t_1^{-1/2}+1)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

This estimate follows from

$$\|B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}u\|_{H_1} = \mathcal{O}(t_1^{-1/2}+1)\|u\|_H$$

and

$$\|B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}Au\|_H = \mathcal{O}(t_1^{-1/2}+1)(\|u\|_{H_1}).$$

In the above, the first estimate holds by interpolating the following estimate and boundedness on H

$$\|AB^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}u\|_H = \|B^{1/2}\tilde{A}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}u\|_H = \mathcal{O}(t_1^{-1})\|u\|_H$$

The second one is obtained from the first estimate by taking its adjoint

$$\begin{aligned}\|B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}Au\|_H &= \|B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}A^{1/2}A^{1/2}u\|_H \\ &\le \|(B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}A^{1/2})\|_{H\to H}\|A^{1/2}u\|_H \\ &= \|(B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}B^{-1/2}A^{1/2})^*\|_{H\to H}\|A^{1/2}u\|_H \\ &= \|A^{1/2}B^{-1/2}e^{-t_1/2\tilde{A}}B^{-1/2}\|_{H\to H}\|\sqrt{A}u\|_H \\ &= \mathcal{O}(t_1^{-1/2}+1)\|u\|_{H_1}.\end{aligned}$$

In the second integral, since the integrand is holomorphic and $t_1^{-1/2}$ is integrable near $t_1 = 0$, we obtain exponential decay by contour deformation. Using the above estimates, we can repeat similar argument for reminder term R_2. In this case, one may think the L^1L^1 estimate of $e^{-t_1\tilde{A}/2}\tilde{A}$ is needed but since $e^{-t\tilde{A}/2}\tilde{A} = -\partial_t e^{-t\tilde{A}/2}$ and using integration by parts, we can avoid this term. Since the argument is essentially similar, we omit the detail and we get

Proposition 6.3. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimates holds for any $t > 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$*

$$\|(1-\tilde{\phi}_B(A))u(t)\|_H \le Ct^{-m-2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

From these propositions, we have proved Theorem 1.3.

7 Applications

As applications of our approach, here we consider some examples of damped wave equation on $\mathbf{R}^d$. We study the following divergence form damped wave equation

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\partial_i g_{ij}(x)\partial_j + a(x)\partial_t\right)u(x,t) = (\partial_t^2 + P + a(x)\partial_t)u(x,t) = 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Here we write $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and $P = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\partial_i g_{ij}(x)\partial_j$. We assume g_{ij} are smooth functions with bounded derivatives and $(g_{ij}(x))$ is a family of uniformly elliptic real symmetric matrices, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}Id \le (g_{ij}(x)) \le CId$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Under this assumption P is a positive definite self-adjoint operator on H^2 and $D(\sqrt{P}) = H^1$ where H^1 and H^2 are usual sobolev spaces on $\mathbf{R}^d$. The damping term $a(x)$ is a smooth non-negative function with bounded derivatives. If $a(x) > c$ for a constant $c > 0$, by Lemma 7.12 below, we can apply Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Thus we obtain similar decay estimates for the constant coefficient case.

Theorem 7.1. *Let u be a solution of* (7.1) *with the initial data $u|_{t=0} = u_0$ and $\partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1$. We assume $a(x) > c$ for $c > 0$ and define*

$$v(t) = a(\cdot)^{-1/2} e^{-ta^{-1/2}Pa^{-1/2}} a(\cdot)^{1/2} u_0 - a(\cdot)^{-1/2} e^{-ta^{-1/2}Pa^{-1/2}} a(\cdot)^{-1/2} u_1.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that we have the following asymptotic profile

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-1}(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}).$$

for $u_0 \in H^1$, $u_1 \in L^2$ and $t > 1$. Furthermore, if we assume $u_0 \in H^1 \cap L^1$ and $u_1 \in L^2 \cap L^1$, then we also have the following bound for $t > 1$,

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-d/4-1}(\|u_0\|_{L^2 \cap L^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^2 \cap L^1}).$$

We shall study the case that $a(x)$ may be vanish on a compact set however the geometric control condition holds. So we recall about the geometric control condition. For the operator P, we define its Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t(x, \xi) = (x(t), \xi(t))$ by the solution of the following the Hamiltonian equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dx}{dt} = \dfrac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}, \quad \dfrac{d\xi}{dt} = -\dfrac{\partial p}{\partial x} \\ (x(0), \xi(0)) = (x, \xi). \end{cases}$$

Here the symbol $p(x, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} g_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$ is associated with P. By the uniform ellipticity, the above Hamilton equation has time global solutions for any initial date and we can define the Hamiltonian flow.

For the damped wave equation, it is known that the mean value of the damping term along a geodesic is closely related to the asymptotic profile of the solution c.f. [7], [16]. So we introduce

$$\langle a \rangle_T(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T a(\phi_t(x, \xi))dt$$

where $T > 0$ and we use the notion $a(x, \xi) := a(x)$. We study the asymptotic behavior of the damped wave equation under the following geometric control condition.

Geometric control condition: There exist $T > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $(x, \xi) \in p^{-1}(1)$, we have $\langle a \rangle_T(x, \xi) \ge \alpha$.

Under the geometric control condition, we can expect the asymptotic profile is similar to the strictly positive case. We first obtain the energy decay. Let $U(t)$ be the propagator of the damped wave equation. We have the following energy decay estimate.

Theorem 7.2. *We assume the geometric control condition and $a(x) > c$ for $|x| > R$ where $c > 0$ and $R > 0$ are some constants. Let u be a solution of* (7.1) *with the initial data $u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^1$ and $\partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1 \in L^2$. Then there exists a constant C such that for $t > 1$ the following bound holds*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \le C(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (g_{ij}\partial_i u, \partial_j u)_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2) \le Ct^{-1}(\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1)\|_{L^2}).$$

In this case, the damping term is not uniformly positive. But we can prove the similar resolvent estimate and we can apply the argument of proving Theorem 4.1. We study the resolvent by dividing three range of frequencies.

For low frequency, we use the following estimate

Lemma 7.3. *If λ and $\delta > 0$ are sufficiently small, then $(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1} : L^2 \to L^2$ exists for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ or $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \delta|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|$ and we have the following bound*

$$(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}).$$

Proof. First we prove the following bound, for sufficient small $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|u(x)|^2 dx \ge C\lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |u|^2 dx. \tag{7.2}$$

Taking a smooth compact support non-negative function $\chi(x)$, $\chi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < 1$. We set $\chi_r(x) = \chi(rx)$ for $r > 0$. We have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \ge \|\chi_r \nabla u\|_{L^2} \ge \|\nabla(\chi_r u)\|_{L^2} - \|[\nabla, \chi_r]u\|_{L^2}.$$

Since $a > c$ for $|x| > R$ and $(\nabla\chi)_r(x) = \nabla\chi(rx) = 0$ for $|x| < 1/r$, we obtain

$$\|[\nabla, \chi_r]u\|_{L^2} = r\|(\nabla\chi)_r u\|_{L^2} \le Cr \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$$

for sufficient small r and some $C > 0$. Since $\chi_r u = 0$ for $|x| > 1/r$, by the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\|\chi_r u\|_{L^2} \le C\frac{1}{r}\|\nabla(\chi_r u)\|_{L^2}.$$

So we get

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \ge \frac{1}{C} r\|\chi_r u\|_{L^2} - Cr \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Taking $r = \varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda}$ for sufficient small ε, we have (7.2) since $a > c$ for $|x| > R$. Now we prove the lemma. We use the following identity

$$((P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))u, u)_{L^2} = (Pu, u)_{L^2} + \lambda^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|u(x)|^2 dx,$$

From this identity and uniform ellipticity of P, we have

$$|((P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))u, u)_{L^2}| \ge C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + C|\lambda| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|u(x)|^2 dx - \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}).$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ or $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \delta|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|$ if δ is sufficient small . So for sufficient small $|\lambda|$, applying (7.2) we get

$$|((P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))u, u)_{L^2}| \ge C|\lambda|\|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

From this bound we easily prove the existence of the inverse and the estimate by applying similar argument for Lemma 3.1. ☐

Remark 7.4. *The above estimate holds for $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ for exterior domain Ω and we can generalize the theorem for exterior problem with Dirichlet boundary condition.*

By the geometric control condition, we can control high frequency part and we can prove the following statement.

Lemma 7.5. *Under the geometric control condition, $R(\lambda) = (P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1} : L^2 \to L^2$ exists for $\lambda = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}\backslash\{0\}$, $|\tau| > C$ for sufficient large $C > 0$ and we have the following bound*

$$(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1})$$

This type lemma is well-known, e.g. [7], [19], so we omit the proof. From this Lemma and by Proposition 3.7, we have

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1 + |\lambda|^{-1}).$$

This estimate guarantees the analytic continuation of the resolvent across the imaginary axis for high frequency region.

For middle frequency region, we can use the Fredholm theory. We follow the argument in [19]. We take a smooth compact support function $b(x)$ satisfying,

$$c(x) = a(x) + b(x) > c$$

for a constant $c > 0$. Then $(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$ exists for pure imaginary $\lambda = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}\backslash\{0\}$ and we have

$$(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1} = Id - \lambda b(\cdot)(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$$

Since $(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$ takes L^2 to H^1 and $b(x)$ is a compact support function, by Rellich's compact embedding theorem, $b(\cdot)(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$ is a compact operator. Thus by the Fredholm theory, the resolvent exists if and only if the following equation have a solution

$$\lambda b(\cdot)(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}u = u.$$

Taking $u = (P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))v$, the equation can be written as follows

$$(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(x))v = 0.$$

For pure imaginary $\lambda = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}\backslash\{0\}$, multiplying $\bar{v}$ to the equation, integrating on $\mathbf{R}^d$ and taking imaginary part, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} a(x)|v(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

So $v = 0$ on the support of a. Then by the unique continuation of second order elliptic operators, we conclude $v = 0$. Thus for pure imaginary $\lambda \neq 0$, we have $u = 0$. So the resolvent exist and by continuity, for middle frequency, we have the existence of the resolvent across the imaginary axis. Combining the existence of the resolvent for high and middle frequency, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.6. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that* $R(\lambda) = (P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1} : L^2 \to L^2$ *exists for* $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > -\delta$ *if* $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \varepsilon$.

From these lemmas, we can conclude the energy decay by applying similar argument as in section 4 c.f. Remark 4.4.

Remark 7.7. *We can also prove the energy decay only assuming the geometric control condition, by using the argument in [7], see Appendix.*

Remark 7.8. *We can also treat a small perturbation of the above case and we can get the energy decay for the case that* $a(x) \geq 0$ *does not hold. In the above setting, we take a smooth function* $b(x) > 0$ *with bounded derivatives and we consider the following damped wave equation.*

$$(\partial_t^2 + P + a(x)\partial_t - \varepsilon b(x)\partial_t)u(t, x) = 0.$$

From the above argument, we get the following bound for $\lambda = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}\backslash\{0\}$

$$\|(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))^{-1}u\|_{L^2} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Taking $u = (P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))v$, *we get*

$$|\lambda|\|v\|_{L^2} \leq C\|(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))v\|_{L^2}.$$

So we have

$$\|(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot) - \varepsilon\lambda b(\cdot))v\|_{L^2} \geq \|(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot))v\|_H - C\varepsilon|\lambda|\|v\|_{L^2} \geq C|\lambda|\|v\|_{L^2}$$

if ε *is sufficiently small. This inequality implies the existence of the resolvent and we can apply previous argument to get the energy decay though for applying the previous argument, we need a small modification since at first we only know* $U(t)$ *may be growth like* $e^{C\varepsilon t}$ *order.*

We also give the asymptotic profile of the solution. We take a smooth compact support function $b(x)$ satisfying

$$c(x) = a(x) + b(x) > c$$

for a constant $c > 0$. We take

$$\tilde{P} := c(\cdot)^{-1/2} P c(\cdot)^{-1/2}.$$

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 7.9. *Let* u, v *be the solution of the following equations*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + P + a(\cdot)\partial_t)u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1, \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \tilde{P})v = 0, \\ v|_{t=0} = c(\cdot)^{1/2}u_0 + c(\cdot)^{-1/2}u_1 \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ *for* $d > 2$, *respectively. Under the assumption of Theorem 7.2, there exists* $C > 0$ *such that for any* $u_0 \in H^1$, $u_1 \in L^2$ *and* $t > 1$, *the following asymptotic profile holds*

$$\|u(t) - c(\cdot)^{1/2}v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-1}(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}).$$

To prove the theorem, we need the asymptotic expansion of the resolvent near the origin. Since $c(x)$ is uniformly positive, the resolvent $R(\lambda) = (P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$ exists near the imaginary axis. We have the following identity

$$(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda a(\cdot)) = Id + \lambda(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}b(\cdot). \tag{7.5}$$

We use the following estimate for the cut-off resolvent.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $d > 2$ and χ is a continuous compact support function then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such taht the following estimate holds for $|\lambda|$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ or $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \delta|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|$,*

$$\|\chi R(\lambda)\chi\|_{L^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Proof. By uniform ellipticity, we have

$$|((P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))u, u)_{L^2}| \geq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C|\lambda| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} c(x)|u(x)|^2 dx - C|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ or $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \delta|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|$ if δ is sufficient small. Since $c(x) > c$, for sufficient small λ, we have

$$|\lambda| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} c(x)|u(x)|^2 dx - |\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0.$$

If $d > 2$, by the Hardy's inequality, we obatain

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\||x|^{-1}u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Here $\langle x\rangle = \sqrt{1 + x^2}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\langle x\rangle(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(x))u\|_{L^2}\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}u\|_{L^2} &\geq |(\langle x\rangle(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(x))u, \langle x\rangle^{-1}u)_{L^2}| \\ &= |(P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(x))u, u)_{L^2}| \geq C\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}u\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $u = R(\lambda)\langle x\rangle^{-1}v$, we obtain

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}R(\lambda)\langle\cdot\rangle^{-1}v\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{C}\|v\|_{L^2}. \tag{7.6}$$

From this inequality, we have the estimate □

For $d = 1, 2$, we can give the following results.

Lemma 7.11. *If χ is a real-valued continuous compact support function, then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that the following estimates hold for sufficient small $|\lambda|$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$ or $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \delta|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi R(\lambda)\chi\|_{L^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(\log|\lambda|) && (d = 2),\\ &\|\chi R(\lambda)\chi\|_{L^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1/2}) && (d = 1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By uniform ellipticity, we have

$$|((P + \lambda^2 + \lambda c(\cdot))u, u)_{L^2}| \geq C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + |\lambda|\|u\|_{L^2}^2) \geq C\|(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{7.7}$$

We prove the following estimate.

$$\|(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \begin{cases} C\frac{1}{\log|\lambda|}\|\chi u\|_{L^2}^2, & (d = 2),\\ C|\lambda|^{1/2}\|\chi u\|_{L^2}^2, & (d = 1).\end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

We take a compact support cut off function $\tilde{\chi}(\xi)$ which satisfies $\tilde{\chi}(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi|$ is sufficient small. Then by the Plancherel theorem and $(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}(1 - \tilde{\chi}(\xi))$ is bounded for sufficient small λ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}u\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\chi(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(D)u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})(1 - \tilde{\chi}(D))u\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\leq \|(|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(D)u\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}(1 - \tilde{\chi}(\xi))\widehat{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\leq \|(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(\xi)u\|_{L^1}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\leq \|(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2\|u\|_{L^2} + C\|u\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

By easy computations, we obtain

$$\|(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{1/2})^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \begin{cases} C\log|\lambda|, & (d=2), \\ C|\lambda|^{-1/2}, & (d=1). \end{cases}$$

Taking $u = (|\nabla| + |\lambda|^{1/2})v$, we have (7.8). From (7.7) and (7.8), we get

$$\|\chi u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \begin{cases} C\log|\lambda||((P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(x))u, u)_{L^2}|, & (d=2), \\ C|\lambda|^{-1/2}|((P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(x))u, u)_{L^2}|, & (d=1). \end{cases}$$

Now we take $u = (P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(x))^{-1}\chi v$ then we have

$$\|\chi u\|_{L^2}\|\chi(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(x))^{-1}\chi v\|_{L^2} \leq \begin{cases} C\log|\lambda||((v,\chi u)_{L^2} \leq C\log|\lambda|\|v\|_{L^2}\|\chi u\|_{L^2}, & (d=2), \\ C|\lambda|^{-1/2}|((v,\chi u)_{L^2} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1/2}\|v\|_{L^2}\|\chi u\|_{L^2}, & (d=1). \end{cases}$$

Dividing by $\|\chi u\|_{L^2}$, we have proved. □

We take the inverse of the right hand side of the identity (7.5). We define

$$\tilde{R}(\lambda) = \sqrt{b(\cdot)}\lambda(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}\sqrt{b(\cdot)}.$$

Since $b(x)$ is compact support function, by the above lemmas, we can assume $\|\tilde{R}(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2} < 1$ for sufficient small λ. For such λ, we can construct the inverse by Neumann series.

$$\begin{aligned}&(Id - \lambda(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}b(\cdot))^{-1} \\ &= Id + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\lambda(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}\sqrt{b(\cdot)}\{\lambda\tilde{R}(\lambda)\}^n\sqrt{b(\cdot)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following identity for the resolvent $R(\lambda) = (P+\lambda^2+\lambda a(\cdot))^{-1}$ and $R_c(\lambda) = (P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}R(\lambda) &= (P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\lambda(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1}\sqrt{b(\cdot)}\{\lambda\tilde{R}(\lambda)\}^n\sqrt{b(\cdot)}(P+\lambda^2+\lambda c(\cdot))^{-1} \\ &= R_c(\lambda) + \lambda R_c(\lambda)b(\cdot)R_c(\lambda) + R_1(\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Here $R_1(\lambda)$ is the reminder term. So we have the asymptotic expansion of the resolvent. We shall prove Theorem 7.3. If $d > 2$ then $\tilde{R}(\lambda)$ is bounded so we have $\|\lambda\tilde{R}(\lambda)\|_{L^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|)$ and $\|R_1(\lambda)\|_{l^2\to L^2} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ near the origin. To the first term $R_c(\lambda)$, we can apply similar argument in Theorem 1.1 and get the heat type asymptotic. $R_1(\lambda)$ term becomes lower order term since $\|R_1(\lambda)\| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is lower than the main order $|\lambda|^{-1}$ and we can get t^{-1} order decay. The main difference is the second term and we study the term. We have $\|\phi_c(P)\lambda R_c(\lambda)b(x)R_c(\lambda)\| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ if $\phi_c(P)$ cut low frequency so, corresponding to Proposition 5.1, we have t^{-1} order decay to the high frequency part. For low frequency part, we follow the proof of Theorem 1.1. To obtain (5.7), we do not use the identity (5.3) and we only use these estimate of the resolvent which is similar in this situation. Thus the main term is $\tilde{J}_3$ and we have

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t}\left(R(i\lambda)(i\lambda + c(\cdot))u_0 + R(i\lambda)u_1\right)d\lambda + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}). \tag{7.10}$$

Inserting (7.9), since $R_1(\lambda)$ and the first term are a lower order, we get

$$\begin{aligned}u(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t}\left(R_c(i\lambda) + i\lambda R_c(i\lambda)b(\cdot)R_c(i\lambda)\right)(c(\cdot)u_0 + u_1)\,d\lambda + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \\ &= L_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi}L_2 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

In the above integral the first term is similar to the strictly positive case, so we can get the following asymptotic profile by applying similar argument to prove Theorem 1.1.

$$L_1 = c(\cdot)^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{P}}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0 + u_1) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}).$$

To estimate L_2 part, we recall the following properties of our heat propagator.

Lemma 7.12. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following bounds hold for $u \in L^1 \cap L^2$ and $t > 1$*

$$\|e^{-t\tilde{P}}u\|_{L^1} \le C\|u\|_{L^1},$$
$$\|e^{-t\tilde{P}}u\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-d/4}\|u\|_{L^1},$$
$$\|e^{-t\tilde{P}}u\|_{L^\infty} \le Ct^{-d/4}\|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Probably this result is well know c.f. [8] and we give an outline of the proof. We use the following Nash's inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^{2+4/d} \le C(\tilde{P}u, u)_{L^2}\|c(\cdot)^{1/2}u\|_{L^1}^{2/d}.$$

Since P is uniformly elliptic and $c(\cdot)$ is strictly positive bounded function, this inequality is easily obtained from the following usual Nash's inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^{2+4/d} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|u\|_{L^1}^{4/d}.$$

Let $u(t) = u(t,\cdot) = e^{-t\tilde{P}}u_0$, then by the integration by parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} c(x)^{1/2}u(t,x)dx = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \partial_i\left(g_{ij}(x)\partial_j c(x)^{-1/2}u(t,x)\right)dx = 0.$$

Thus the propagator $e^{t\tilde{P}}$ preserves this modified total heat. Under our assumption, $e^{t\tilde{P}}$ also preserve positivity see [9]. So we can only consider the positive solution and we assume $u(t)$ is positive. From the conservation of the modified total heat, we have the L^1L^1 bound. Next we define

$$H(t) := \frac{\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{\|c(\cdot)^{1/2}u(t)\|_{L^1}^2}.$$

Since the modified total heat is preserved, by the Nash's inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(t) = -\frac{2(\tilde{P}u, u)}{\|c(\cdot)^{1/2}u(t)\|_{L^1}^2} \le -CH(t)^{1+2/d}.$$

This inequality implies

$$-H(t)^{-2/d} \le H(0)^{-2/d} - H(t)^{-2/d} \le -Ct.$$

So we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-d/4}\|u_0\|_{L^1}.$$

From this estimate, we can prove the L^∞ estimates by using duality argument. For positive v and $s \le t$, we take

$$v(s,x) = v(s) := e^{(s-t)\tilde{P}}v.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u(s,x)v(s,x)dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d}\left(-\tilde{P}u(s,x)\right)v(s,x)dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u(s,x)\left(\tilde{P}v(s,x)\right)dx = 0.$$

Thus the above integral does not depend s so taking $s = t$ and $s = t/2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u(t,x)vdx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u(t/2,x)v(t/2,x)dx \le \|u(t/2)\|_{L^2}\|v(t/2)\|_{L^2} \le Ct^{-d/4}\|u\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^1}.$$

By this inequality and duality, we get the $L^\infty L^2$ estimate. □

Using this bound, we estimate L_2 in the decomposition (7.10). From the identity (5.3), we have

$$R_c(\lambda) = c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{P})^{-1}c(\cdot)^{-1/2} - \lambda^2 c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{P})^{-1}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}R_c(\lambda). \tag{7.12}$$

Inserting this identity to L_2, since $\lambda^2 c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{P})^{-1}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}R_c(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t}i\lambda c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{P})^{-1}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}b(x)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda + \tilde{P})^{-1}c^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0 + u_1)d\lambda + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \\ &= L_3 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So we estimate L_3 part. We use identities (6.5) and (6.6). By these identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}L_3 &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\phi}_c(P) i\lambda c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}e^{-t\tilde{P}/2}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}(i\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0+u_1)d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t} i\lambda c^{-1/2}\int_0^{t/2} e^{-t_1(i\lambda+\tilde{P})}dt_1 c^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}e^{-t(i\lambda+\tilde{P})/4}c^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0+u_1)d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t} i\lambda c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}e^{-t\tilde{P}/2}c(\cdot)^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}\int_0^{t/4} e^{-t_2(i\lambda+\tilde{P})}dt_2 c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0+u_1)d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\phi}_c(P)e^{i\lambda t} i\lambda c(\cdot)^{-1/2}\int_0^{t/2} e^{-t_1(i\lambda+\tilde{P})}dt_1 c(\cdot)^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}\int_0^{t/4} e^{-t_2(i\lambda+\tilde{P})}dt_2 c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(c(\cdot)u_0+u_1)d\lambda \\ &= L_4+L_5+L_6+L_7\end{aligned}$$

Since $b(x)$ is compact support function and $d > 2$, from Lemma 7.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{-t\tilde{P}/2}c(x)^{-1/2}b(x)c(x)^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}\|_{L^2\to L^2} &\leq \|e^{-t\tilde{P}/2}\|_{L^1\to L^2}\|c(x)^{-1/2}b(x)c(x)^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}\|_{L^2\to L^1} \\ &\leq Ct^{-d/4}\|c(x)^{-1/2}b(x)c(x)^{-1/2}\|_{L^1}\|e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}\|_{L^2\to L^\infty} \\ &\leq Ct^{-d/2} \leq Ct^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

From this estimate, we know L_4 is a lower order term. For L_5, since

$$\left\|\int_0^{t/2} e^{-t_1\tilde{P}}dt_1\right\|_{L^1\to L^2} = \max\{1, t^{-d/4+1+\varepsilon}\}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\int_0^{t/2} e^{i\lambda(3t-4t_1)/4}(i\lambda c^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{P}}c^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}(i\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}c^{-1/2}dt_1\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \left\|e^{i\lambda(3t-4t_1)/4}c^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{P}}\right\|_{L^1\to L^2}\left\|c^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}i\lambda(i\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}c^{-1/2}\right\|_{L^2\to L^1}dt_1 \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \left\|e^{i\lambda(3t-4t_1)/4}c^{-1/2}e^{-t_1\tilde{P}}\right\|_{L^1\to L^2}dt_1\left\|c^{-1/2}b(\cdot)c(\cdot)^{-1/2}\|_{L^1}\|e^{-t\tilde{P}/4}\|_{L^2\to L^\infty}\|i\lambda(i\lambda+\tilde{P})^{-1}c^{-1/2}\right\|_{L^2\to L^2} \\ &\leq C\max\{e^{-3t\mathrm{Im}\lambda/4}, e^{-t\mathrm{Im}\lambda/4}\}\max\{1, t^{-d/4+1+\varepsilon}\}t^{-d/4}.\end{aligned}$$

From this estimate the integrand is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as λ variable and by contour deformation as in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we get further t^{-1} decay. Thus we have

$$\|L_5\|_{L^2} \leq C\max\{1, t^{-d/4+1+\varepsilon}\}t^{-d/4-1} \leq Ct^{-1}.$$

We can apply similar argumetn to estimate L_6 term and we get t^{-1} order decay. For L_7 term, the integrand is holomorphic so thanks to the $e^{-i\lambda(t-t_1-t_2)}$ factor, we can get the exponential decay estimate, by contour deformation across the origin. Thus we have proved Theorem 7.9. For $d = 1, 2$, in the same way, we can also prove the following result.

Theorem 7.13. *Under the assumption of Theorem 7.2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d = 1, 2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 2$ the following estimates between the solution u of the equation (7.3) and the solution v of the equation (7.4) hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t) - c(\cdot)^{1/2}v(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq Ct^{-1}\log t(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}), && (d=2), \\ \|u(t) - c(\cdot)^{1/2}v(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq Ct^{-1/2}(\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_1\|_{L^2}), && (d=1).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.14. *In the above theorems we have the arbitrariness to the choice of $c(\cdot)$ term. Probably our estimates come from the arbitrariness of $c(\cdot)$. For simplicity, we consider the following heat equation*

$$((1+a(\cdot))\partial_t - \Delta)u = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = (1+a(\cdot))^{-1}u_0$$

Here $a(\cdot)$ is a non-negative compact support function. Then by Duhamel's principle we have

$$u(t) = e^{t\Delta}u(0) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta}a(\cdot)\partial_s u(s)ds.$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$u(t)=e^{t\Delta}u_0-\int_{t/2}^{t}e^{(t-s)\Delta}a(\cdot)\partial_s u(s)ds-\int_0^{t/2}\left(\partial_t e^{(t-s)\Delta}\right)a(\cdot)u(s)ds-e^{t/2\Delta}a(\cdot)u(t/2).$$

In the right hand side, the first term is the top term. Since $a(x)$ is a compact support function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{t\Delta/2}a(\cdot)u(t/2)\|_{L^2}&\le\|e^{t/2\Delta}\|_{L^1\to L^2}\|a(\cdot)u(t/2)\|_{L^1}\\&\le\|e^{t/2\Delta}\|_{L^1\to L^2}\|a(\cdot)\|_{L^1}\|u(t/2)\|_{L^2\to L^\infty}\le Ct^{-d/2}\|u(0)\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

From well-known estimates of heat propagators, we get the following estimate

$$\left\|\int_{t/2}^{t}e^{(t-s)\Delta}a(\cdot)\partial_s u(s)ds\right\|_{L^2}\le C\int_{t/2}^{t}(t-s)^{-d/4}s^{-d/4-1}\|a(\cdot)\|_{L^1}\|u(0)\|_{L^2}ds\le C\begin{cases}t^{-1}\|u_0\|_{L^2} & (d=2),\\ t^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_{L^2} & (d=1).\end{cases}$$

We can treat the another integral by same manner and get similar estimate. So the above estimate seems almost optimal.

In the same manner, we can generalize Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 7.15. *Let u, v are the solution of the equations* (7.3), (7.4) *respectively. Then under the assumption of Theorem 7.1, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C>0$ such that for any $u_0\in H^1\cap L^1$ and $\langle x\rangle u_0\in L^2$, $u_1\in L^2\cap L^1$ and $t>2$, the following asymptotic profile hold*

$$\|u(t)-c(\cdot)^{1/2}v(t)\|_{L^2}\le Ct^{-1-d/4}(\|u_0\|_{H^1\cap L^1}+\|u_1\|_{L^2\cap L^1})$$

Proof. The proof is essentially same and we give an outline of the proof. We use the expansion (7.9). R_c term can be treated by similar manner in the proof of Theorem 1.3 and we get the heat type asymptotic. ☐

8 Appendix

In this appendix, we prove the energy decay estimate under the geometric control condition. We estimate the resolvent dividing three frequency parts in the same way as Theorem 7.2. We can use Lemma 7.5 to estimate the high frequency part. So we need to estimate middle and low frequency parts. We take

$$L=P+\lambda^2+\lambda a(\cdot)$$

and estimate $\|Lu\|_{L^2}$ from below. The following argument is essentially similar to [7]. By the geometric control condition, we can take a sequence $(x_n)\subset\mathbf{R}^d$ such that $a(x_n)\ge\alpha/T$ and any point of $\mathbf{R}^d$ is at bounded distance from the set $\cup_n\{x_n\}$. By the uniformly continuity, we can find $r,\beta>0$ such that $a(x)\ge\beta>0$ on $\omega=\cup_n B(x_n,r)$.

We estimate the low frequency part. First we assume $|\mathrm{Re}\lambda|<|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|$. By taking imaginary part of $(Lu,u)_{L^2}$, we easily have

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon^2|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2+C\varepsilon|\lambda|^3\|u\|_{L^2}^2\ge\frac{\varepsilon|\lambda|^2}{C}\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{8.1}$$

We can take $\varepsilon>0$ arbitrary small and $C>0$ is some constant. As in [7], we set

$$Q_h=h^2e^{\varphi/h}(P+\lambda^2)e^{-\varphi/h}$$

here $h>0$ is sufficiently small. Then we can take a uniformly bounded weight φ such that the following sub-elliptic estimate holds

$$\|Q_hu\|_{L^2}^2\ge Ch\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{8.2}$$

here $C>0$ and u satisfies $u|_\omega=0$, see [7] for the proof. We take a real-valued cut-off function $\chi\in C_0^\infty$ such that $\chi(s)=0$ for $s\ge\beta$ and $\chi\equiv1$ in a neighborhood of 0. Then $a^c=\chi\circ a$ vanishes on ω and any derivatives of a^c is controlled by a. We set $v=e^{\phi/h}a^cu$ and compute

$$Q_hv=h^2e^{\phi/h}a^cLu-h^2e^{\phi/h}\lambda a^cau+h^2e^{\phi/h}\left[P,a^c\right]u.$$

Since $v|_\omega = 0$, from this identity and (8.2), we obtain

$$\|h^2 e^{\phi/h} a^c Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h^2 e^{\phi/h} \lambda a^c a u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h^2 e^{\phi/h} [P, a^c]\, u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|Q_h v\|_{L^2}^2 \geq Ch\|v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since φ is uniformly bounded, for fixed h, $e^{\phi/h}$ is uniformly positive and bounded . Thus we have

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + C|\lambda|^2\|au\|_{L^2}^2 + C\| [P, a^c]\, u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{C}\|a^c u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since derivatives of a^c are controlled by a, for sufficient small $|\lambda|$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \|(\partial_j a^c)\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2}{C}\|a^c u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{8.3}$$

For $k = 1, 2, \cdots, d$, using integration by parts, we have

$$(Pu, (\partial_k a^c)^2 u)_{L^2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (g_{ij}(\partial_k a^c)\partial_i u, (\partial_k a^c)\partial_j u)_{L^2} + 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} ((\partial_k a^c)\partial_i u, g_{ij}(\partial_j\partial_k a^c)u)_{L^2}.$$

Since derivatives of a^c are controlled by a, from this identity, we have

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\sum_{k,i=1}^{d} \|(\partial_k a^c)\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^2$$

for sufficiently small $|\lambda|$. So from (8.3), we get

$$\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2}{C}\|a^c u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{8.4}$$

Thus from (8.1) and (8.4), we obtain

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon^2|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\varepsilon|\lambda|^3\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon|\lambda|^2}{C}\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}|\lambda|^2}{C}\|a^c u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now taking ε sufficiently small and $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$, since $a^c(x) = 1$ if $a(x) = 0$, we conclude

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{8.5}$$

From this estimate, we get $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1})$ for sufficiently small $|\lambda|$ around the imaginary axis. If $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq |\mathrm{Im}\lambda|$, by taking real part of $(Lu, u)_{L^2}$, we have

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^2|\lambda|^2\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq C\varepsilon|\lambda|^2\|\sqrt{a}u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By similar argument, we can also prove (8.4) in this case. So we have (8.5) and get $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1})$ for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda > 0$. Thus we have estimated the low frequency part. The estimate of the middle frequency part is essentially same and more easy (we only need the reversibility of $P - \lambda^2 + i\lambda a$ if λ is real and in a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$) so we omit the proof. From these estimate, We conclude the following energy decay estimate.

Theorem 8.1. *We assume the geometric control condition. Let u be a solution of* (7.1) *with the initial data $u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^1$ and $\partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1 \in L^2$. Then there exists a constant C such that for $t > 1$ the following bound holds*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (g_{ij}\partial_i u, \partial_j u)_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2) \leq Ct^{-1}(\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1)\|_{L^2}).$$

References

[1] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau and J. Rauch: Un example d'urilization des notions de propagation pour le controle et la stabilisation de problems hyperboliques. Rend. sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino Fascicolo speciale 1988 Hyperbolic equations, (1987).

[2] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau and J. Rauch: Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control and stabilization of waves from boundary. SIAM J. Control Optim. 30 (1992), 1024–1065.

[3] J.M. Bouclet, J. Royer: Local energy decay for the damped wave equation, Journal of Functional Analysis 266, 7 (2014) , 4538–4615.

[4] N. Burq : Décroissace de l'énergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le problème extérieur et absence de résonance au voisinage du réel. Acta Math. 1980(1998), 1–29.

[5] N. Burq and Hitrik: Energy decay for damped wave equations on partially rectangular domains math. res. lette. 14 (2007), 35-47.

[6] R. Chill, A. Haraux, An optimal estimate for the difference of solutions of two abstract evolution equations, J. Differential Equations, 193 (2003), 385–395

[7] N.Burq, R. Joly: Exponential decay for the damped wave equation in unbounded domains, arXiv:1408.6054v2.

[8] E.B.Davies, Heat Kernels and Spectral thoery. Cambridge university press, (1989).

[9] A. Friedman, Partial differential equations of parabolic type, Prentice-Hall, (1964)

[10] R. Ikehata, G. Todorova, B, Yordanov, Optimal decay rate of the energy for wave equations with critical potential, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), no. 8, 3352-3368.

[11] M. Khenissi: Équation des ondes amorties dans un domaine extérieur, Bull. Soc. math. France 131 (2), (2003), 211–228

[12] G. Lebeau: Equation des ondes amorties. in Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics, A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Marchenko eds. (1996), 73-109.

[13] A. Matsumura, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of semi-linear wave equations, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 12 (1976) 169–189.

[14] K. Nishihara, Lp-Lq estimates of solutions to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application, Math. Z., 244 (2003), 631–649.

[15] K. Ono, Decay estimates for dissipative wave equations in exterior domains, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 286 (2003) 540–562.

[16] J. Rauch and M. Taylor: Exponential decay of solutions to symmetric hyperbolic equations in bounded domeins. Indiana J.Math. 24 (1974), 79–86.

[17] P. Radu, G. Todorova, B. Yordanov: On the generalized diffusion phenomenon of dissipative wave equations.

[18] Y. Wakasugi: On diffusion phenomena for the linear wave equation with space-dependent damping, J. Hyper. Differential Equations, 11, 795 (2014).

[19] M. Zworsky: semiclassical analysis. Graduate Studies in Mathematics no138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., (2012).

Department of Education, Wakayama University,
930, Sakaedani, Wakayama city , Wakayama 640-8510, Japan
E-mail:h2480@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp